

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,176	GEHRMANN, CHRISTIAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131

All Participants:

Status of Application: response after non-final

(1) Christopher A. Revak. (3) _____.

(2) Michael Cameron. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 May 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

32

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant argued the features of a passcode and message authentication code being authenticated prior to establishing a shared secret key, however, claim 32 did not include the limitations of the message authentication code. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment in order to incorporate this limitation into the claim..